OFFICIAL FEEDBACK FORM



DIALOGUE DATE	Thursday, 25 February 2021 10:00 GMT +01:00
DIALOGUE TITLE	Walking the talk: healthy & sustainable food systems through aligned, evidence- based communication & policy
CONVENED BY	EUFIC (European Food Information Council) and FAO Liaison Office in Brussels
DIALOGUE EVENT PAGE	https://summitdialogues.org/dialogue/1256/
DIALOGUE TYPE	Independent
GEOGRAPHICAL FOCUS	No borders

The outcomes from a Food Systems Summit Dialogue will be of use in developing the pathway to sustainable food systems within the locality in which they take place. They will be a valuable contribution to the national pathways and also of interest to the different workstreams preparing for the Summit: the Action Tracks, Scientific Groups and Champions as well as for other Dialogues.

1. PARTICIPATION

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

100

PARTICIPATION BY AGE RANGE

0-18

19-30

31-50

51-65

66-80

80+

PARTICIPATION BY GENDER

Male

Female

Prefer not to say or Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SECTOR

Agriculture/crops

1 Fish and aquaculture

3 Livestock

Agro-forestry 1

6 **Environment and ecology**

2 Trade and commerce Education

12 Communication

3 Food processing

1 Food retail, markets

4 Food industry

0 **Financial Services** Health care

Nutrition

13 National or local government

0 Utilities

0 Industrial

35 Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FROM EACH STAKEHOLDER GROUP

- Small/medium enterprise/artisan 1
- 1 Large national business
- 3 Multi-national corporation
- 0 Small-scale farmer
- 0 Medium-scale farmer
- 0 Large-scale farmer
- 2 Local Non-Governmental Organization
- 27 International Non-Governmental Organization
- Indigenous People 0
- 16 Science and academia

- 2 Workers and trade union
- 1 Member of Parliament
- 2 Local authority
- 4 Government and national institution
- 3 Regional economic community
- 27 **United Nations**
- 0 International financial institution
- 2 Private Foundation / Partnership / Alliance
- 1 Consumer group
- 8 Other

2. PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT

HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE DIALOGUE SO THAT THE PRINCIPLES WERE INCORPORATED, REINFORCED AND ENHANCED?

To ensure respect of the Principles of Engagement, the following measures were taken: - Several members of the two co-hosting organisations took part in the FSSD training sessions, and conveyed the message to the rest of the organising teams; - Facilitators and notetakers were all encouraged to attend the trainings, and the importance of th Principles was further reemphasized in the dry-run sessions held before the event; - The participants were made aware of the principles during the plenary session, and were encouraged to get further familiar by referring to the link in the Dialogue's chat box. - The principles were highlighted in the interventions of the keynote speakers and incorporated into the discussion topics of the breakout groups.

HOW DID YOUR DIALOGUE REFLECT SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PRINCIPLES?

Acting with urgency o the Curator's introduction stressed the importance of an urgent action, highlighted by the keynote opening by two UN representatives Committing to the Summit o participants were invited to keep thinking, to stay in touch, to recap the actions and honour commitments, to disseminate the outcomes, to organise follow up dialogues, and to keep working towards (and beyond) the Summit Being respectful o participants were encouraged to make the best of the safe environment, to kindly listen and respectfully challenge each other, to understand & appreciate the differences, always with a constructive dialogue etiquette in mind Recognising complexity o our dialogue connected the often fragmented nutritional and environmental conversations, while recognising the fact that the very definition(s) of the food systems carry the weight of numerous angles Embracing multi-stakeholder inclusivity o while a Brussels-focused event at its core, the dialogue gathered a spectrum of actors across sectors, covering Europe at large, including: I science: nutrition, food science & technology, environment, behaviour, agriculture, climate, food systems; I food value chain: food production, processing, packaging, retail, HoReCa; I civil society: patients, educators, practitioners, consumers, communicators youth; I policy: European Commission, Parliamentarians, International Agencies Complementing the work of others o The Dialogue followed on the landmark documents, including Farm2Fork strategy of the EC, the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Healthy Diets of the FAO & WHO, the SOFI 2020. o The topics specifically built on the CFS Voluntary Guidelines on Food Systems & Nutrition and on FSS Action track 2 Building trust o the core element of the dialogue, as eviden

DO YOU HAVE ADVICE FOR OTHER DIALOGUE CONVENORS ABOUT APPRECIATING THE PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT?				

3. METHOD

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

DID YOU USE THE SAME METHOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONVENORS REFERENCE MANUAL?

/

Yes

No

4. DIALOGUE FOCUS & OUTCOMES

MAJOR FOCUS

The Dialogue "Walking the talk: healthy and sustainable food systems through aligned communication and policy" acknowledged the complexity of challenges on our way to healthy and sustainable food systems, with their numerous distinct causes that need to be tackled differently, triggering actions that may result in trade-offs, or even in creation of new issues.

Starting from a premise that a shift towards sustainable food systems can only be achieved by enabling and empowering citizens to make healthier and more sustainable food and lifestyle choices, the dialogue was set to bring EU stakeholders around the table, to ensure a paradigm shift and break the silos in addressing the urgent need to combat all forms of malnutrition and environmental degradation. The focus of the dialogue was to find solutions for empowering citizens to adopt healthier and more sustainable behaviours - by increasing trust in science and the use thereof, and by securing aligned, evidence-based communication & policy.

With that backdrop, this dialogue has directly contributed to the work of the Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns, but also to the work of Action Tracks 1 and 3.

The format, details of the programme, and key messages of the keynote speakers are offered in the Annex to this Report.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		1	Environment and Climate

MAIN FINDINGS

The following FIVE OVERARCHING CONCLUSIONS could be identified:

The complexity of the food systems must be acknowledged:

o by taking a stepwise, cross-sectoral approach, to:

avoid resistance that a "big bang" approach could trigger,
allow working in a complementary fashion

I facilitate (re) building trust in the food systems;

o by aligning on a definition of sustainability, as:

we must be clear on where we are heading, if we are to develop successful solutions;

the existing divisions could lead to further confusion & loss of trust among food systems actors.

Citizens are recognised as central to the success of food systems transformation. To secure their buy in into healthy sustainable behaviour:

o tailored education & communication must be ensured;

o optimal food environment should be secured, making healthy & sustainable food choices easy also for underprivileged; o citizens should be involved in the co-design of research and policy.

Education is key in achieving mindset transformation. It should be:

o relying on solid science, with health at its centre;

o interdisciplinary and holistic in its nature, recognising complexity of the food systems;

o ensuring a knowledge build-up across educational levels;

o tailored to different target groups:

I to general public, on healthy and sustainable food choices;

to farmers & food producers, on efficient management of natural resources/production systems; to businesses, on their impacts and dependencies on natural capital;

o blended with policy & food environment.

Policy frameworks are critical for ensuring sustainable food systems. To secure success, their features should include:

o strength, ambition, transparency, science at their core;

o robustness against vested interests;

o comprehensiveness, convergence and coherence:

across agri, trade, health, environment, education, aid, development, and other frameworks

at different levels, from municipal to global;

o the One Health approach, critical for both people's and planet's health

Trust is paramount to ensure success of most of the recommendations proposed.

This Dialogue resulted in both INDIVIDUAL JOINT COMMITMENTS, across different fields. The participants have committed

In the field of research, education & communication

o Conduct research to understand consumer behaviour, taking into account the relation between food, culture and identity;

o Shape programmes within their specific roles, e.g. the curricula of MSc programmes and research editorials;

o Support national consumer organisations in educating citizens;

o Carry out awareness campaigns on food storage and the use of date-labelling;

o Raise awareness among policy makers and health professionals;

o Educate businesses

1 to understand their impacts and dependencies on natural capital, to better manage risks and dependencies, to take better informed decisions.

to help them take specific food waste actions and teach their employees about food waste;

In the field of best practice sharing & capacity building:

o Learn from successful initiatives in food sourcing, processing and reformulation;

o Bring cities together

I to learn from each other's successes & failures,

I to improve capacity building & good practice sharing.

o Bring policymakers together to learn from each other

harmonize policies

I reduce frictions;

o Expand the dialog to non-usual actors.

In the field of policy:

o Tackle inequality through the Childhood Obesity Taskforce in London

o Influence legislation on food waste, e.g. by addressing taxation to make food donation easier;

o Push for adoption of ambitious & stringent policy tools in support of initiatives like the Nutri-Score or introduction of reformulation targets;

o Support adopting national science-based policy recommendations;

o Advocate for food system policies & policy convergence; o Bridge data gaps in to provide basis for smart & effective food systems policies.

In the fields of food safety, food packaging, food waste:

o Collect more data on food waste;

o Improve packaging and commit to circular economy

o Make surplus food available and donation easier through digital platforms & tools;

o Continue to act on solutions easy to implement and proven to work (e.g. doggy-bags).

Across environmental, social and ethical dimensions:

o Develop tools to measure environmental impact that are easy to understand, and that include externalities into food prices o Support projects that build consumer trust, re-connect people with food and with innovations in the food system;

o Work with local actors on social dimension to buying food, at first in local markets;

o Commit to sustainable sourcing (of ingredients), processing & reformulation, to the farm to fork code of conducts within industrial agreemen

ACTION TRACKS		KEYWORDS			
1	Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all		Finance	1	Policy
1	Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns	1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
1	Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production		Human rights	1	Governance
	Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods		Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
	Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress			1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 1/8

PRODUCTION, CONSUMPTION AND IN BETWEEN: THE INCREASING DEMAND FOR HEALTHY AND SUSTAINABLE FOODS WILL SECURE THE INCREASINGLY SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION.

How to exploit the demand? Can we transition from short(er) chains to tight(er) interactions?

The state of affairs:

- With the topic being debated among scientists, and the overwhelming and often contradicting information in the digital sphere, it is of no surprise that citizens can hardly grasp the concept of healthy and sustainable foods. Even if well understood, healthy and sustainable choices are not necessarily the easiest ones.
- Equally unsurprisingly, citizens have little trust in novel production methods, even when they are sustainable and safe.
- Peoplé's decision-making around foof does not happen in a vacuum, it is influenced and shaped by the social and cultural norms and policy environment.

The below calls for actions were made, aimed at:

- o helping people navigate the food environment,
- o rebuilding trust in the food systems,
- o increasing demand for sustainably produced food products.

To governments / policy makers:

- o to create enabling environments for sustainable production;
- o to regulate other aspects of the food system, incl. the labelling and marketing of food products;
- o to harmonise food labelling at international level;
- o to ensure policy coherence: producers should be able to rely on a solid and coherent framework, resilient to e.g. loose trade rules that challenge the level playing field;
- o to allow adequate time to adjust to new rules, as well as support access to modern technologies;
- o to secure that the rules of public food procurements follow the rules of both healthy and sustainable nutrition.

To food producers (acknowledging that proposed actions are not equally accessible nor meaningful to producers in the Global North and South):

- o to react to the consumers' and public health demand for more sustainable and healthy food;
- o to responsibly consider marketing and labelling as elements that influence consumer choices;
- o to work together with policy makers to create stimulating food environment, which will make healthy and sustainable choices easy choices;
- o wherever possible, to use sensible production methods and to (keep) implementing innovative technologies that allow more sustainable production.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
 Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
 - Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
 - Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

- - Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 2/8

EDUCATION AND KNOWLEDGE - FROM SCIENCE, OVER CULTURAL AND SOCIAL NORMS, TO PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE -WILL UNDERPIN HEALTHY SUSTAINABLE LIFESTYLES.

(incl. healthy diets, physical activity, food waste prevention, intra-household food distribution, food safety, optimal breastfeeding & complementary feeding).

How will connecting the knowledge dots get us there?

The state of affairs:

- With malnutrition in all its forms now recognised as the key factor behind global public health challenges, people are becoming increasingly aware of the importance of nutrition for their health, but also of the impact that our food production, consumption and disposal make on the health of the planet.
- · Any change in nutrition habits and pattern is difficult, but it can start from education, and get supported by social, cultural, economic, policy and other aspects of the environment. This all, acknowledging that there is a need for more evidence on sustainable diets.
- Knowledge about food systems must come from many different fields to enable us to resolve the issues. In order to act across different sectors of the society, we need to start acting early, learning how to collaborate, and how to think out of the box.
- · Education is a field of huge possibility and flexibility, crucial for the food systems transformation, but in order to demonstrate its full potential it must be made more resilient, fit for both challenges and opportunities of the 21st century.

To make a difference, the following actions were suggested:

- Switch to sustainable education systems, which includes moving away from subject-oriented learning to inquiry-based learning (problem- & project-oriented studying), to interdisciplinary, interactive, blended learning.
 Focus on interdisciplinary education across educational levels, including that on healthy sustainable lifestyles at primary &
- secondary schools, and on food systems at secondary schools and higher level education.
- Ensure holistic, aligned communication on sustainable food systems, by:
- o educating the public about the versatile aspects of the food systems,
- o breaking the communication silos,
- o avoiding generalisation and tailoring the messages and formats to specific audiences,
- o framing healthy sustainable diets around culture, social normals to specific addiences, o integrating practical aspects that people can relate to and directly benefit from (e.g. cooking classes, weekly menu planning, sustainable grocery lists, food waste reducing practices).

 Hold multi-stakeholder diagonal at the boot:
- o carefully putting the science at the heart;
- o translating, but not banalizing, scientific messages into policy and broader communication; o addressing systemic barriers to translation and implementation of science.

- Use the momentum, employ innovation & creativity, reconnect people with their food! o let the kids know how we grow food, what happens in the field, what is healthy, fresh, local, seasonal; what foods we import; how do we ensure versatile, balanced diets; organise school gardens and cooking classes;
- o encourage talking about food, promote bidirectional intergenerational learning; encourage people to cook for each other, to shop for food together, to share excess food within community, to jointly prevent food waste; organise communal gardens and cooking classes;
- o visually capture the sustainability concept- how is that for a food labelling challenge?

ACTION TRACKS KEYWORDS

	Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all		Finance	1	Policy
1	Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns	1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production		Human rights	1	Governance
	Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods		Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
	Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress			1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 3/8

SECURITY AMIDST ABUNDANCE: SPILLING OVER WILL SPILL OVER FROM AFFLUENT TO LESS FORTUNATE. What are the missing links in food security? How to ensure decent livelihoods for all?

Context of the discussion:

- · Participants agreed on the high complexity of the topic. Root causes are many, and all need to be addressed in a (semi)coordinated way, recognising that different parts of the system need to be addressed differently, and that actions may
- The discussion touched upon both local and global aspects, as well as the internal and external impact of EU policies and
- The One Health approach was assessed as critical, as food system transformation is about both people's and planet's health.

Recommendations for action:

- · Reduce food waste:
- o Obtain sufficient data on where food is wasted along the supply chain, to develop tailored system solutions for reducing it at the source;
- o Develop approaches to collect and redistribute excess food in a fair way to vulnerable groups ("revalue" the waste);

- o Educate people on how to use and cook with fresh foods and reduce waste at home.
 Empower farmers and local producers:
 o Invest in farmers and local food supply systems (e.g. in providing farming communities with the necessary infrastructure, such as good quality broadband in rural areas, or use public procurement to support farmers transitioning to more
- sustainable practices); o Educate farmers and food producers on efficient management of natural resources/production systems and on setting prices to improve their negotiating power in the food chain; o Consider the implementation of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Peasants and others working in rural areas.
- Utilise technology:
- o Maximise the use of available solutions and be on the forefront of implementation of new technologies (e.g use block chain technologies for ensuring food chain transparency and reducing waste).
- Ensure coherent EU policies (internal and external):
- o Conduct a transparent review of agriculture-based EU policies that could undermine food security and healthy nutrition, and identify opportunities to encourage sustainable agriculture in the EU;
- o Ensure coherence between EU aid policies and national policies developed by the aid-receiving nation;
- o Consider sustainability in the context of trade agreements.
- Create a better definition/vision of the sustainable food system that we aspire to achieve o knowing where we are going will help develop solutions for transforming the food system.
 Foster dialogue and exchange, improve representation of the key food chain actors
- o Due to the complexity of the challenge, and to address the needs of different people and environments, we need to ensure all stakeholders are represented in defining solutions. Civil society groups and farmers are often left underrepresented.
- · Propose mandatory EU food labelling that quickly communicates to consumers accurate information on nutrition and sustainability indicators.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 4/8

FOOD WASTE: EDUCATING FOOD SYSTEM ACTORS WILL TURN WASTE REDUCING BEHAVIOUR INTO A SOCIAL NORM. How to leverage education, communication & policy to ensure this shift?

The state of affairs:

- Food waste and loss happen at all stages of the food value chain, from pre-harvest food loss, over the impact of packaging and transport, all the way to food waste in retail and in households.
- To devise efficient interventions to reduce food waste at critical points in the cycle (which admittedly significantly differ between Global North & South), a data driven approach should be taken.
- At the same time, a mindset shift is required from the one in which we must produce more food to feed the world, to the one in where we must produce foods that are more nutritious and more sustainable, waste much less, and shift towards a plantrich diet.

To make a difference, the following recommendations were proposed:

- Ensure data driven approach:
- o conduct research into food waste and losses at different community levels to focus interventions on where the problem is most critical, and to tailor them to local specificities;
- o test the effectiveness of interventions, then promote and scale-up the most effective ones;
- o collect data for food aid necessity at (inter)national, but also local and neighbourhood level to understand where the excesses can spill over to where there is a need.
- Adapt the regulation framework around food waste, to:
 o send the right incentives to all food chain actors, from farmers to consumers;
- o prioritize food donation for human consumption (over that for animal feed); o facilitate food donation through taxation (using e.g. tax breaks);
- o make the best use of expiration date;
- o adjust the price of food to the food systems reality (cheap food is expensive for public health, and easier to waste), while considering the needs of underprivileged.
 - Work on a local level
- o municipalities are the ones to deal with packaging and food waste disposal;
- o they can effectively promote local markets and protect smallholder farmers;
- o they can effectively exchange best practices and build on each other's knowledge.
 Make use of innovation & technology
- o develop the economic potential of food waste through innovation (e.g. restaurants making beer from old bread);
- o increase and facilitate access to refrigeration and freezing in developing countries;
- o spill over the knowledge and best practices.

ACTION TRACKS	KEYWORDS
ACTION TRACKS	KEYWOR

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
 - Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
 - Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

N۲	۲V	٧U	KΝ	9

- Finance Policy Data & Evidence Innovation Human rights Governance Women & Youth Trade-offs **Empowerment**
 - Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 5/8

ATTITUDES & ADVOCACY: CITIZENS AS CHANGE AGENTS WILL BUILD A BRIDGE TO SUSTAINABLE BEHAVIOUR AROUND FOOD.

What will secure construction of solid, sustainable cultural bridges?

The discussion context:

Instead of the Bing Bang, the next big thing is a lot of small things that work in a complementary fashion and are focused on education; food availability, pricing and convenience; economic and financial levers and incentives; rebuilding trust in the food system; and policy.

Actions in the following focus areas were identified as crucial:

Education

- · Start early with food, nutrition, sustainability and food system education
- Make it practical and consider cultural context;
- · Walk the talk: match the classroom 'talk' with food served in school canteens.
- · Be mindful of transition into adulthood, when teenagers develop their own agency and execute choice
- Change the narratives on food choices to support the adoption, focus on practical and easy things to engage and empower.

Availability, pricing and convenience • Impact the choices

- o Consumers' choices are influenced by marketing, pricing and accessibility; both policy and industry practices must strive to influence them towards healthier and more sustainable;
- o Nudges should be used in creating healthier food choices
- o the economic means should be ensured to help consumers break through their choice patterns and make them more sustainable.
- · Encourage behaviour change
- o by making it feasible and practical
- Use pricing is a driver of food choices o particularly for people from challenging socio-economic backgrounds: strike the balance right to interest them in healthier diets, without neglecting the economic hurdles they face.
- Ride on the pandemic wave
- o Seize the momentum and build on the fact that during COVID-19 pandemic people started cooking again; encourage more of the same
- o others have reconnected to local food supply chains make sure to keep them connected.

Economic and financial levers and incentives

- to consumers: make the VAT lower for fruits, vegetables, legumes, grains;
- · to farmers:
- o incentivize small-holder farmers to food system transformation by ensuring that they have a sufficient maneuvering space to take risk and change the way they operate (currently, they have a small profit margin (1-2%), so making changes requires taking relatively large risks compared to other food system players);
- EU CAP: financial incentives should be available to drive radical change, e.g. to mitigate risks for stakeholders and enable risk interventions; there is plenty of money available, but not distributed such to support production focused on sustainability and healthfulness:
- · Spark interest in alternative proteins, incl. legumes, as they can contribute to resilience and EU self-sufficiency; legumes grown in the EU are not price competitive and would lead to an ultimate loss for the farmer; in a fair food system, growing food should allow one to be self-sufficient and should not require subsidies. Rebuilding trust in food systems
- · Involve citizens in innovation processes from early on, to secure a buy-in and get ownership of the process;
- Communicate better by informing consumers but also food handlers with clear guidelines (e.g. Nutri-Score)
- · Make use of professionals in the food systems, incl. nutritionists, to get information across clearly, and explain why differing opinions on certain points may exist.
- Support the development and adoption of strong, integrated and ambitious policies: urban food policy councils, or actions at regional and territorial

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all

 Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns

 Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

 Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
 - Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment		Trade-offs
		1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 6/8

FROM LOCAL TO GLOBAL IN SEVEN-LEAGUES POLICY BOOTS: THE EU FARM TO FORK POLICY FRAMEWORK WILL IMPACT BEYOND EUROPEAN BORDERS.

Can we leverage the F2F strategy globally? How to ensure the impact?

The discussion context:

- The leading role of the EU was recognized and Farm to Fork (F2F) considered a great initiative. While there is a need to embrace it globally, it first has to be consolidated at EU level. It was suggested to establish a European Food Policy Council and include stakeholders.
- The sustainability of food systems is a global issue, facing diverse challenges, so the response must be global. The attention to the external dimension of the F2F is especially important, as Europe's agricultural and food system impacts outside Europe.
- An integrated approach should be embraced with third and developing countries, and align the trade, development policies and decisions in standard setting organizations. Developing countries need support to transition towards sustainable economies due to multiple negative impacts (COVID-19, climate change, non-communicable diseases, food insecurity), all the while requiring a change in diets and a reduction of food waste.

To make a difference, the following recommendations were proposed:

- Promoting research and innovation and coalition building
- o Food research and innovation are key for making informed decisions, but also for monitoring success.
- o The value of information sharing, communities of practice, coalition building on food systems transition was recognized. Dialogue is key to conciliate divergent views, but some actions should not be based on voluntary actions but need to be enforced.
- · Promoting increased transparency along the food chain: a shared responsibility
- o Food chain actors must support healthy and sustainable diets and transform their production and operation methods. This requires human and financial investments and therefore should also bring economic returns.
- o Increased fairness and equity along the chain is required to succeed in transitioning towards sustainable food systems. o A fair and transparent policy (open communication, fair prices, risk sharing) promoting more transparency along supply chains and better distribution of value is needed.
- o Capacity development is key, especially for farmers groups and MSMEs, providing support to operators in meeting required economic, social and environmental standards.
- Providing incentives towards sustainable food systems
- o Investments & incentives by public and private sector are needed: encouraging responsible food supply and consumption with neutral or positive environmental impact (tax incentives, procurement), responsible businesses, labelling, and work on legislative measures.
- o Consumers need to be represented and have a stronger voice in the food system. They also must understand that the price of food is generally low, and does not include externalities.
- o The farmers, fishers and other operators in the food chain who have already undergone the transition to sustainable practices, be in local or global markets, should be rewarded as to encourage the transition for the others, and create additional opportunities for their businesses.
- · Reconciling local and global food systems
- Tradeoffs between local and global food systems need to be reached. There is no single solution in complex and interdependent systems.
- To move towards food systems approach, managing change, breaking silos, and leveraging resources and measuring impact will be critical.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 7/8

PLANT-BASED: FROM PLANT-RICH TO A RICH-PLANET, THE DEVIL IS IN THE GRAINS, FRUITS, VEGGIES AND NUTS. How to leverage communication & policy to ensure a shift to plant-rich diets as socially & culturally established?

General overeview:

- Changing people's eating practices and patterns takes time, and transition to the plant-rich diet will require a gradual rather than radical shift, combined emphasis on health & sustainability, and acknowledged cultural differences.
- To ensure impact, a holistic approach is necessary to gather insights from different angles (e.g. health, environment, agriculture, tourism, education) and to bring together different perspectives towards a common goal.
- On the road to plant-rich, there are both explicit and implicit decisions and actions for all stakeholders. We need to focus on both these levels in a concerted way.

To make a difference, the following actions were suggested:

- Actions directed at consumers:
- o School food policies: it is important to ensure that fresh and healthy foods are affordable and accessible, replacing highly processed and meat-based products.
- o Education: consumers must be equipped with knowledge on how to prepare healthy plant-based foods.
- o Accessibility: plant-based diets need to be accessible for the lower income families, which might entail a different, targeted approach, counteracting negative influences, such as unhealthy marketing/food environments). o Nudging towards balanced diets:
- o plant-based protein should be progressively incorporated into the diet, instilling the notion of consuming less meat but of higher quality, and more plant-based products;
- o healthy/plant-based foods need to taste as good as options that are less healthy or less sustainably produced.
- Actions directed at supply chain:
- o Engage private sector: to increase the uptake of plant-based foods by consumers, incentivise food brands to advertise healthy and sustainable products.
- o Measure the environmental impact: encourage manufacturers and retailers to measure the environmental impact of food they are selling.
- o Pay attention to the animal and livestock sectors. With debates ongoing in European countries about pricing and taxation, animal welfare schemes could ensure that animal products are of higher quality and lower environmental impact.
- o Reverse the production focus from of animal- to plant-based protein.
- o Logistical drivers should be considered in providing plant-based foods into different regions/countries.
- Actions directed at the policy makers:
- o Use policy tools to encourage plant-rich diets
- o Public procurement: through campaigns promoting plant-rich diets
- o Labelling: use nutrition labelling to highlight the health benefits of plant-based products, and sustainability labelling such as carbon labels to highlight the environmental benefits.
- o Fiscal measures: level up the prices for plant-based products with meat products, for example for milk and milk alternatives.
- o Use healthy lifestyle interventions as a part of health system to encourage a shift to plant-based diets, as the main dietary contribution to healthy nutrition.
- o Food environment: the food offer should be regulated, starting with the cities where interest is high to change to healthier and more sustainable food offer.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

Finance	1	Policy
✓ Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
Human rights	1	Governance
Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
	1	Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC - 8/8

FOOD ENVIRONMENT: MAINSTREAMING HEALTH AND FOOD IN ALL POLICY WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE. How will policy make a difference to people's food choices? What policy?

The discussion context:

Policies can make a difference in establishing food environments supportive of sustainable healthy diets, but they need to be well designed, holistic, combining mandatory and voluntary measures.

Various critical points emerged, as summarised below:

Mindset change is key for changing the food system, acknowledging its complexity. There is no simple solution: a well aligned set of solutions is required, allowing for diverse positions, opening up, and finding a common ground.

Education and environment: education and food environment should be considered together and not as 'false dichotomy'. Education is important to give people the skills to navigate the food environment, and food environment needs to make the healthy and sustainable choices the easy ones.

One-health policy: putting health at the centre, underpinning all policies, and educating people on the link between sustainability and health is important.

Holistic approach: the environment and people's behaviour should be targeted simultaneously, through a mix of complementary mandatory and voluntary interventions, while considering socioeconomic and health aspects, and having in mind the 'triple wins' of sustainability - health, planet and economy.

Lived experience: exploring how policies affect people's lives, in the 'lived experience' of food environments, provides key information on why people behave the way they do.

- the environmental costs are insufficiently reflected in food prices;
- food price should ensure that the producer gets a fair value;
 poverty is not to be not overlooked, however, as higher prices might increase inequity, as people of a low socioeconomic status cannot necessarily afford choices better for health and planet.

Demand vs. supply: to achieve change, comprehensive and integrated strategy is needed including the supply side. Expecting change through consumer demand, having consumer 'pay the price' is both difficult and unfair.

Labelling: although a much-researched topic, combining nutrition labelling with other types of labelling (e.g. on sustainability) remains complex. Labelling policies are key to support influencing people in making healthy choices, but there is a need for EU-wide legislation and harmonisation of national labelling systems

Changing behaviour: More research is needed to understand the choices people make, and how their behaviour can be influenced. A prerequisite to stimulate any change is to make alternative choices accessible and palatable. Targeting young people and children will be inductive of changes in the next generation.

Healthiness of alternative foods: plant-based alternatives can contain a lot of salt, fats and refined carbohydrates, which is to be kept in mind.

Digital food environment: the digital food environment is largely hidden, and includes marketing of unhealthy foods (to children), but also unhealthy meals that get ordered online.

More data: absence of data is key hurdle, and the integration of key performance indicators for policies is key. Currently there is insufficient to assess the effect of interventions and to ensure they are on the right track.

Gap between research outputs and desired outcomes: the impact of interventions on health and sustainability happens in long-term and impact indicators are often proxys. Also, it is difficult to link outcomes to specific interventions.

ACTION TRACKS

Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all

Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns

Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		1	Environment and Climate

AREAS OF DIVERGENCE

There were no major divergences among participants. However, points critical for food systems transformation came to the surface, including:

the need for comprehensive definition of sustainability;

- acknowledgement of complexity & interdependency of food systems;
 the need for holistic, cross-sectoral, multi-level approaches to multifaceted complex issues;
- the lack of education on healthy lifestyles, and especially on healthy sustainable food systems; the need for comprehensive, interconnected, evidence-based policy;

- the lack of data as key hurdle, and integration of key performance indicators;
 the need to improve representation of businesses/industry, as well as of farmers & citizens in the FSSD, who all must be part of the solution;
- existence of vested interests, and the polarisation that they can lead to;

- the need to recognize the true cost of food;

- the need for tradeoffs between local and global food systems;
- the need to recognize differences in production, consumption, and the different needs of the food systems transformation between Global North & South.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production

Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods

Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	1	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		/	Environment and Climate