

OFFICIAL FEEDBACK FORM

DIALOGUE DATE	Wednesday, 26 May 2021 13:00 GMT -04:00
DIALOGUE TITLE	Canadian Livestock: Confidence and Security through Sustainable Production
CONVENED BY	Frank Annau, Director of Environment and Science Policy, Canadian Federation of Agriculture
DIALOGUE EVENT PAGE	https://summitdialogues.org/dialogue/14001/
DIALOGUE TYPE	Independent
GEOGRAPHICAL FOCUS	Canada

The outcomes from a Food Systems Summit Dialogue will be of use in developing the pathway to sustainable food systems within the locality in which they take place. They will be a valuable contribution to the national pathways and also of interest to the different workstreams preparing for the Summit: the Action Tracks, Scientific Groups and Champions as well as for other Dialogues.

1. PARTICIPATION

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

PARTICIPATION BY AGE RANGE

2 0-18 26 19-30 24 31-50 2 51-65 66-80 80+

PARTICIPATION BY GENDER

25 Male 29 Female Prefer not to say or Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SECTOR

18	Agriculture/crops	2	Education		Health care
2	Fish and aquaculture	1	Communication		Nutrition
14	Livestock		Food processing		National or local government
	Agro-forestry		Food retail, markets		Utilities
3	Environment and ecology		Food industry		Industrial
1	Trade and commerce	7	Financial Services		Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FROM EACH STAKEHOLDER GROUP

4	Small/medium enterprise/artisan		Workers and trade union
2	Large national business		Member of Parliament
1	Multi-national corporation		Local authority
	Small-scale farmer	2	Government and national institution
8	Medium-scale farmer		Regional economic community
	Large-scale farmer		United Nations
20	Local Non-Governmental Organization		International financial institution
7	International Non-Governmental Organization	4	Private Foundation / Partnership / Alliance
	Indigenous People		Consumer group
1	Science and academia	5	Other

2. PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT

HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE DIALOGUE SO THAT THE PRINCIPLES WERE INCORPORATED, REINFORCED AND ENHANCED?

As many stakeholders know, the environment can be portrayed at odds with industries such as agriculture. One of the goals of the Canadian Federation of Agriculture is to connect stakeholders with farm-driven approaches that deliver environmental, economic and social sustainability solutions. With this in mind, the participant invite list was designed to include representatives focused on the agriculture industry, the environment, and more neutral affiliations such as trade and international standards benchmarking. Once we had three-way representation from these groups, we sent out the invitation. The goal was to enhance the principles of recognizing complexity and embracing multi-stakeholder inclusivity.

HOW DID YOUR DIALOGUE REFLECT SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PRINCIPLES?

As addressed above, we invited multiple stakeholder groups in an attempt to reflect the aspects of recognizing complexity and embracing multi-stakeholder inclusivity. From there, breakout session facilitators were provided with guidance dossiers outlining the principles of the Dialogue, such as be respectful. These principles were reiterated by our Dialogues hosts and once again by each facilitator at the start of their breakout sessions to great effect.

DO YOU HAVE ADVICE FOR OTHER DIALOGUE CONVENORS ABOUT APPRECIATING THE PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT?

Reach out to as wide of a group of potential participants as possible. This will reduce the likelihood that group diversity is underrepresented in your dialogue (if anyone is unable to make it). Also convey to participants that they are expected to participate in breakout sessions, to ensure that the purpose of the dialogue is fully met. Ideally, this will encourage people not to log out for the breakout sessions.

3. METHOD

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

DID YOU USE THE SAME METHOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONVENORS REFERENCE MANUAL?

Yes

No

Each facilitator was provided with five key questions on food system sustainability. Points of divergence and convergence were both able to surface, and were recorded by CFA staff serving as notetakers. While notes followed Chatham House Rules and didn't indicate speakers, all voices appeared to have been heard. Moderators also joined the Dialogues host for the closing session, in which they discussed points of agreement, disagreement, and solutions that arose in response to the discussion prompts. Discussion points from each breakout room were then formatted into the feedback forms for review by facilitators.

4. DIALOGUE FOCUS & OUTCOMES

MAJOR FOCUS

The focus of our dialogue was to examine how the sustainability efforts of farmers could be used to communicate how Canada is meeting its commitments under the sustainable development goals. We began with a presentation from a Canadian Livestock Sustainability Program, the Sustainable Beef Framework, who addressed best management practices in sustainable beef production.

From there, we examined how this program and others like it could be aligned with international standards through the process of benchmarking. This involved a presentation from the Canadian Agri-food Sustainability Initiative about the benchmarking services that will be a key feature of their in-development online platform.

We closed with a presentation on how high-level sustainability data produced by the benchmarking process could be used to develop metrics. This engagement was delivered by Canada's Agri-food Sustainability Index, who discussed how their in-development agri-food metrics could be used to help track Canada's progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
- Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
- Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

KEYWORDS

- Finance Policy
- Innovation Data & Evidence
- Human rights Governance
- Women & Youth Empowerment Trade-offs
- Environment and Climate

MAIN FINDINGS

Please publication documents.

ACTION TRACKS

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
- Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
- Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

KEYWORDS

- Finance
- Innovation
- Human rights
- Women & Youth Empowerment
- Policy
- Data & Evidence
- Governance
- Trade-offs
- Environment and Climate

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC

Please see publication documents.

ACTION TRACKS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

KEYWORDS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Finance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Policy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Innovation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Data & Evidence
<input type="checkbox"/>	Human rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Governance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women & Youth Empowerment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Trade-offs
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Climate

AREAS OF DIVERGENCE

Please see publication documents.

ACTION TRACKS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

KEYWORDS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Finance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Policy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Innovation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Data & Evidence
<input type="checkbox"/>	Human rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Governance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women & Youth Empowerment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Trade-offs
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Climate

ATTACHMENTS AND RELEVANT LINKS

ATTACHMENTS

- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/CFA-Independent-Dialogue-Summary-Report.pdf>
- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Facilitator-Discussion-Group-KHK-Q1.pdf>
- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Facilitator-Discussion-Group-LN-Q2.pdf>
- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Facilitator-Discussion-Group-BM-Q3.pdf>
- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Facilitator-Discussion-Group-CW-Q4.pdf>
- <https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Facilitator-Discussion-Group-PU-Q5.pdf>