# OFFICIAL FEEDBACK FORM



DIALOGUE DATE	Friday, 29 January 2021 10:00 GMT +02:00
DIALOGUE TITLE	Towards a resilient food system
CONVENED BY	Mr Jyri Ollila, adviser, convenor of the national dialogue
DIALOGUE EVENT PAGE	https://summitdialogues.org/dialogue/4531/
DIALOGUE TYPE	Member State
GEOGRAPHICAL FOCUS	Finland

The outcomes from a Food Systems Summit Dialogue will be of use in developing the pathway to sustainable food systems within the locality in which they take place. They will be a valuable contribution to the national pathways and also of interest to the different workstreams preparing for the Summit: the Action Tracks, Scientific Groups and Champions as well as for other Dialogues.

# 1. PARTICIPATION

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

80

#### PARTICIPATION BY AGE RANGE

15 0-18 35 19-30 31-50 30 51-65 66-80 80+

#### PARTICIPATION BY GENDER

5 11

10

34 Male 46 Female Prefer not to say or Other

#### NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SECTOR

Agriculture/crops 8 Education 8 Health care
Fish and aquaculture 4 Communication Nutrition

Livestock 5 Food processing 13 National or local government

Agro-forestry 6 Food retail, markets 2 Utilities

Environment and ecology 12 Food industry Industrial

Trade and commerce 2 Financial Services 5 Other

### NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FROM EACH STAKEHOLDER GROUP

Local Non-Governmental Organization

Small/medium enterprise/artisan 1 Workers and trade union

Large national businessMulti-national corporationLocal authority

5 Small-scale farmer 9 Government and national institution

Medium-scale farmer 2 Regional economic community

Large-scale farmer United Nations

International Non-Governmental Organization Private Foundation / Partnership / Alliance

International financial institution

Indigenous People 3 Consumer group

Science and academia Other

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

Dialogue title Towards a resilient food system Date published 10/02/2021

## 2. PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT

HOW DID YOUR DIALOCHE BEEL FOR CREATER ACREATE OF THE DRINGING FOR

#### HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE DIALOGUE SO THAT THE PRINCIPLES WERE INCORPORATED, REINFORCED AND ENHANCED?

Urgency. The FOOD research program is commissioned by the Prime Minister's Office; the government program stresses the urgency of action in regard to the SDGs. Commitment. The ongoing civil society consultations of the FOOD program got new impetus from the awareness of a possibility to have a say in the global summit process. Respect. Many of the participants know each other from the previous meetings. In a small country this is often the case an it is considered advantage for the society. Complexity. Participants did ha experience in discussing these issues and were well aware of the complexity of the task. Inclusiveness. It was clear that a number of aspects were not covered by the participants, even though certain participants raised points outside their own professional of regional circles. A particular difficulty is to reach actors of new type of businesses, as they tend to be less organized. Complementarity. Collaboration with the Academy of Sciences is synergistic and cost-efficient. As there was an existing process of dialogue it was only logical to join forces for mutual benefit. Trust building. There is a long tradition of civil society consultations and dialogue in the country. It is proven to build trust between interest groups and various parts of the society.

#### DO YOU HAVE ADVICE FOR OTHER DIALOGUE CONVENORS ABOUT APPRECIATING THE PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT?

It is useful to join forces with existing actors and processes. (No need to invent the wheel a second time). Scientists are likely to be working in the same field of problemacy as the FSS. Therefore it is important to provide the researchers with a channel for getting the research results a global audience.

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

Dialogue title Towards a resilient food system

# 3. METHOD

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

DID YOU USE THE SAME METHOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONVENORS REFERENCE MANUAL?

Yes	✓ ·	No
As explained above, the curator and the Acad needed to be adapted accordingly. This turne with the dialogue practices. The chat window views. It was actively used and the content w	demy of Sciences joint their fo ed out to be a good practical ch v of the Teams meeting facility vas saved for further use.	rces for the dialogue, end therefore the topics noice, as the participants were already familiar y turned out to be a good way to collect a variety of

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

Dialogue title Towards a resilient food system

# 4. DIALOGUE FOCUS & OUTCOMES

### **MAJOR FOCUS**

The research program FOOD of the Academy of Sciences is commissioned by the government. It is expected to give policy advise for further implementation of the government program, where sustainability and the overall set of SDGs is central. Therefore, the main focus for the program was to identify urgent research needs for policy advice.

As for the FSS dialogue, this served for the phase 1 of the national dialogue; collecting information and data, perception of the state of play and identifying the participants for the dialogue. The discussions, together with the search for existing documentation, helped to get an overall image of the situation. A compilation of existing research and data is attached to this feedback. Also, a report on the discussions is attached.

Research challenges:

What are the policy instruments to advance SDG targets? How to make change attractive for the actors in the food branch? How to bring along those who are hit by the change? What is the suitable pace of change, taking into account the urgency and the consequences for those who will loose. How to make consumers behave according to recommendations? How to combine national interests and global climate objectives?

The complexity and interlinkages between "tracks" makes it necessary to discuss without silo thinking, even under a specific Action Track.

#### **ACTION TRACKS**

- Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
- Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
- Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
- Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
- Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

#### **KEYWORDS**

	Finance	1	Policy
1	Innovation	/	Data & Evidence
	Human rights	1	Governance
	Women & Youth Empowerment	1	Trade-offs
		/	Environment and Climate

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

## MAIN FINDINGS

For the phase 1 of the dialogue, this served well: a bunch of links to existing research and documentation was collected. There is a need to enlarge the spectrum of participation.  Agreements, commitments, policy choice is to be dealt with in the next phases of the dialogue.  For the FOOD research program it was useful to get advise for further research.

ACTION TRACKS	KEYWORDS

Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all	Finance	Policy
Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns	Innovation	Data & Evidence
Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production	Human rights	Governance
Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods	Women & Youth Empowerment	Trade-offs
Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress		Environment and Climate

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

Dialogue title Towards a resilient food system

## **OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC**

Please find outcome of the discussions in the attachment 1. Compilation of relevant existing research and document 2. A research report on indigenous peoples' food systems 3. Points raised at the dialogue working groups	ts: tation	

ACTION TRACKS	KEYWORDS

Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all	Finance	Policy
Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns	Innovation	Data & Evidence
Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production	Human rights	Governance
Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods	Women & Youth Empowerment	Trade-offs
Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress		Environment and Climate

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

## **AREAS OF DIVERGENCE**

The device of the second secon	
Look above	

### **ACTION TRACKS**

Action Track 1: Ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all
Action Track 2: Shift to sustainable consumption patterns
Action Track 3: Boost nature-positive production
Action Track 4: Advance equitable livelihoods
Action Track 5: Build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress

### **KEYWORDS**

Policy
Data & Evidence
Governance
Trade-offs
Environment and Climate

Food Systems Summit Dialogues Official Feedback Form

Dialogue title Towards a resilient food system

# ATTACHMENTS AND RELEVANT LINKS

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- **Compilation of documentation** https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Finland-FSS-dialogue-compilation-of-documentation.docx
- National dialogue working groups points raised <a href="https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/National-dialogue-points-raised.docx">https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/National-dialogue-points-raised.docx</a>
- Indigenous people's food systems <a href="https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Arctic-profiling-Report-Final-Version.docx">https://summitdialogues.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Arctic-profiling-Report-Final-Version.docx</a>

Towards a resilient food system