

Official Feedback Form

A manual version for Convenors without access to the online form

This document is available to give Convenors an indication of how the online Feedback Form will be structured. If you are a Convenor and are ready to submit your feedback but are not yet able to use the online Official Feedback Form, please contact the Food Systems Summit Dialogues Team at info@summitdialogues.org

The outcomes from a Food Systems Summit Dialogue will be of use in developing the pathway to sustainable food systems within the locality in which they take place. They will be a valuable contribution to the national pathways and also of interest to the different workstreams preparing for the Summit: the Action Tracks, Scientific Groups and Champions as well as for other Dialogues.

The Official Feedback Forms has FOUR KEY SECTIONS:

- 1. Participation
- 2. Principles of Engagement
- 3. Method
- 4. Dialogue Focus & Outcomes
 - a. Major Focus
 - b. Key Findings
 - c. Discussion Topic Outcomes
 - d. Areas of Divergence

Additional information can be included as attachments which can be shared along with the Feedback Form submission.

You are invited to feedback the outcomes of their Dialogues to the Food Systems Summit shortly after the Dialogue has taken place. When feeding back, please use the Official Feedback Form hosted online on the Summit Dialogues Gateway summitdialogues.org.

Dialogue Date	
Dialogue Title	
Convened by	
Link to Dialogue event webpage on the Gateway	



1. Participation

Number of participants in each age range	0-18	19-30	31-50	51-65	66-80	80+			
Number of participants by gender	Male	Female	Prefer r	ot to say er					
Number of participant	s in each s	sector							
Crops			Food re	tail					
Fish and aquaculture			Food in	dustry					
Livestock			Financi	al services					
Agroforestry			Health	care					
Environment and ecolog	ıy		Nationa	l or local go	/ernment				
Trade and commerce			Utilities						
Education			Industr	al	Industrial				
	Communication								
244041.011									
Communication Food processing									
Communication Food processing Others (please list):	s from eac	ch stakeholder	aroup						
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant		ch stakeholder	<u> </u>	r of Parliame	ent				
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris	e/artisan	ch stakeholder	Membe	r of Parliame	ent				
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business	e/artisan	ch stakeholder	Membe Local a	uthority	ent tional institution				
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporation	e/artisan	ch stakeholder	Membe Local a Governi	uthority ment and na	tional institution				
Communication Food processing	e/artisan	ch stakeholder	Membe Local a Governi	uthority ment and na al Economic	tional institution				
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer	e/artisan	ch stakeholder	Membe Local a Govern Regiona United	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations	tional institution				
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer Large-scale farmer	e/artisan n		Membe Local a Govern Regiona United Interna	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations ional financ	tional institution Community al institution	ance			
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer Large-scale farmer Local Non-Governmenta	e/artisan n		Membe Local a Govern Regiona United Interna Private	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations ional financ	tional institution Community	ance			
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer Large-scale farmer Local Non-Governmenta International NGO	e/artisan n		Membe Local a Govern Regiona United Interna Private	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations ional financ Foundation	tional institution Community al institution	ance			
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer Large-scale farmer Local Non-Governmenta International NGO	e/artisan n		Membe Local a Govern Regiona United Interna Private	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations ional financ Foundation	tional institution Community al institution	ance			
Communication Food processing Others (please list): Number of participant Small/medium enterpris Large national business Multinational corporatio Small-scale farmer Medium-scale farmer Large-scale farmer Local Non-Governmenta International NGO Indigenous people	ee/artisan n Il Organizat		Membe Local a Govern Regiona United Interna Private	uthority ment and na al Economic Nations ional financ Foundation	tional institution Community al institution	ance			

Page 1 of 8 Page 2 of 8



2. Principles of Engagement

Food Systems Summit provide an opportunity for diverse, purposeful and respectful exchanges between food systems stakeholders, at all times taking into account the Principles of Engagement of the Food Systems Summit.

Act with urgency: We recognize the utmost urgency of sustained and meaningful action at all levels to reach the respective 2030 Sustainable Development Goals.

Commit to the Summit: We commit to practice what we preach personally and professionally to contribute to the vision, objectives and the final outcomes of the Food Systems Summit.

Be respectful: Within our respective capacities and circumstances, we will promote food production and consumption policies and practices that strive to protect and improve the health and well-being of individuals, enhance resilient livelihoods and communities and promote good stewardship of natural resources, while respecting local cultures, contexts.

Recognize complexity: We recognize that food systems are complex, and are closely connected to, and significantly impact, human and animal health, land, water, climate, biodiversity, the economy and other systems, and their transformation requires a systemic approach.

Embrace multi-stakeholder inclusivity: We support inclusive multi-stakeholder processes and approaches within governments and communities that bring in diverse perspectives, including indigenous knowledge, cultural insights and science-based evidence to enable stakeholders to understand and assess potential trade-offs and to design policy options that deliver against multiple public goods across these various systems.

Complement the work of others: Recognizing that issues related to food systems are being addressed through several other global governance processes, we will seek to ensure that the Food Systems Summit aligns with, amplifies and accelerates these efforts where practicable, avoiding unnecessary duplication, while encouraging bold and innovative new thinking and approaches that deliver systems-level transformation in line with the Summit's principles and objectives.

Build trust: We will work to ensure the Summit and associated engagement process will promote trust and increase motivation to participate by being evidence-based, transparent and accessible in governance, decision-making, planning, engagement and implementation. We – from member states to private businesses to individual actors – will hold ourselves accountable for commitments made with mechanisms in place to uphold this accountability.

How did you organize the Dialogue so that the Principles were incorporated, reinforced and enhanced?	
How did your Dialogue reflect specific aspects of the Principles?	
Do you have advice for other Dialogue Convenors about appreciating the Principles of Engagement?	



3. Method

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

Did you use the same method as recommended by the Convenors Reference Manual?

YES > Please move to Section 4: Dialogue Outcomes

> Please share more information about the method used in the comment box below.

Please consider commenting on how the event was curated as well as the reaction of participants to this curation. It may also be appropriate to comment on the facilitation in the Discussion Groups: were points of divergence and convergence both able to surface? Were all voices heard?

Page 3 of 8 Page 4 of 8



4. Dialogue Focus & Outcomes

A) Major focus

Please detail the focus of your Dialogue. For example, it could be (i) a comprehensive exploration of food systems, (ii) an exploration of one of the five Action Tracks or levers of change of the Summit, (iii) examination of links between one or more of the Action Tracks and levers of change, (iv) or another specific theme.

Dlagge	describe	tha	major	facus i	nundar	600	words
riease	uescribe	uie	IIIaiui	iocus ii	n unuer	000	worus

Action Tracks	Keywords	
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mor the Action Tracks	re of Please tick if this entry following keywords	y is related to one or more of the
Action track #1 ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all	Finance	Governance
Action track #2 shift to sustainable consumption patterns	Policy	Trade-offs
Action track #3 boost nature- positive production	Innovation	Human rights
Action track #4 advance equitable livelihoods	Data & Evidence	Environment and Climate
Action track #5 build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress	Women & Youth Empowerment	

B) Main Findings

Please share your appreciation of the main findings (or conclusions) that emerged from your Dialogue. For example, your key findings might detail a) the need to establish new connections between certain stakeholders, b) an agreement on actions that stakeholders will take together (expressed as intentions or commitments), c) a decision to explore specific aspects of food systems in greater depth.

	lescribe					

Action Tracks	Keywords	Keywords			
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks	Please tick if this entry is following keywords	Please tick if this entry is related to one or more of the following keywords			
Action track #1 ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all	Finance	Governance			
Action track #2 shift to sustainable consumption patterns	Policy	Trade-offs			
Action track #3 boost nature- positive production	Innovation	Human rights			
Action track #4 advance equitable livelihoods	Data & Evidence	Environment and Climate			
Action track #5 build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress	Women & Youth Empowerment				

Page 5 of 8 Page 6 of 8

views on actions that are urgently needed, who s and challenges that might be anticipated as acti	hould take these actions, ways in	letailed here will include participa n which progress could be assess	
You are welcome to feedback about a maximum Outcome in under 600 words.	of 10 Discussion Topics. Please	describe each Discussion Topic	
Action Tracks	Keywords		
Action Tracks Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks		s related to one or more of the	
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo	re Please tick if this entry is	s related to one or more of the	
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks Action track #1 ensure access to safe	re Please tick if this entry is following keywords		
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks Action track #1 ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all Action track #2 shift to sustainable	re Please tick if this entry is following keywords Finance	Governance	
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks Action track #1 ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all Action track #2 shift to sustainable consumption patterns Action track #3 boost nature- positive	re Please tick if this entry is following keywords Finance Policy	Governance Trade-offs	

[Add another Discussion Topic Outcome]

_ `		•			
I)) Areas	Λt	dive	raph	2
υ,	, Al Cas	VI.	ui v c	: i yeii	-c

Please share the areas of divergence that emerged during your Dialogue. An area of divergence is an issue where participants held diverse views, different opinions and/or opposing positions. For example, this might be related to a) strengths and vulnerabilities within food systems, b) areas that need further exploration, c) practices that are needed for food system sustainability, d) stakeholders whose interests should be prioritized.

Please describe all of the areas of divergence in under 800 words.

Note: Please do not attribute anv	views to named	individuals
-----------------------------------	----------------	-------------

Action Tracks	Keywords			
Please tick if this entry is related to one or mo of the Action Tracks	Please tick if this entry is following keywords	Please tick if this entry is related to one or more of the following keywords		
Action track #1 ensure access to safe and nutritious food for all	Finance	Governance		
Action track #2 shift to sustainable consumption patterns	Policy	Trade-offs		
Action track #3 boost nature- positive production	Innovation	Human rights		
Action track #4 advance equitable livelihoods	Data & Evidence	Environment and Climate		
Action track #5 build resilience to vulnerabilities, shocks and stress	Women & Youth Empowerment			

Page 7 of 8 Page 8 of 8